

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,889	07/14/2003	Ralph Cilevitz	GC-520	5874
7590 11/16/2004			EXAMINER	
Parker & DeStefano Suite 300			CHIN, PETER	
300 Preston Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Charlottesville,	VA 22902		1731	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/618,889					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	CILEVITZ, RALPH Art Unit				
	Peter Chin	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MO N. R1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABA ailing date of this communication, even if tim his action is non-final. wance except for formal matter or Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	NTH(S) FROM oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. dS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). nely filed, may reduce any S. prosecution as to the merits is				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet to be a sheet drawing sheet to be a sheet drawing she	ccepted or b) objected to by ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to, See 37 CFR 1 121(d)				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appl. fority documents have been receau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: 1.
 - Claims 1, drawn to internal addition, classified in class 162, subclass 183. ١.
 - 11. Claims 2-18, drawn to method of surface saturation of a paper, classified in class 162, subclass 135.
- Claims 19-31, drawn to a paper, classified in class 162, subclass 138. III. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I,II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a material different methods as evidenced by the Group I and II inventions.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct 4. species of the claimed invention:
 - A) If Group II is elected, one of the following species must be elected:
 - 1) concave shape

Application/Control Number: 10/618,889

Art Unit: 1731

- 2) pleated sheet.
- 3) two concave forming a hollow unit
- B) If Group III is selected, one of the following species must be selected
 - 1) Paper sheet
 - 2) Plurality of sheets or pad of sheets releasably bonded at a portion of each

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Art Unit: 1731

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Chin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1186. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Chin Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731